🖎 AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

United States District Court U.S. CISTRICT MEDITION				
	District of	NEB:	RASKA	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			2007 FEB -2 PM 3: 36	
V.		OF DETENTIO	N PENDING TRIAL	
IGNACIO MONTES-MEDINA Defendant	Case	4:07CR3023	OFFICE OF THE CLERK	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 314	2(f), a detention hearing has be	een held. I conclude ti	hat the following facts require the	
detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
	art I—Findings of Fact			
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described or local offense that would have been a federal offer				
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 				
*				
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
(3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed sin for the offense described in finding (1).	ce the date of conviction	release of the de	efendant from imprisonment	
(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable	presumption that no condition	or combination of con	ditions will reasonably assure the	
safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I	further find that the defendant	has not rebutted this p	resumption.	
	Alternative Findings (A)			
(1) There is probable cause to believe that X for which a maximum term of impri	the defendant has comm	nitted an offense	c 201 et sea	
☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	•		•	
X (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established appearance of the defendant as required and the	olished by finding 1 that no conc safety of the community	dition or combination of	of conditions will reasonably assure	
•	Alternative Findings (B)			
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention				
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by				
1NS detainer ino	substantial .	ties to NE	. · _	
- stronger of govits c	ase_			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Don't III	Divostions Describes Deta	4:		
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney (Directions Regarding Dete General or his designated repres	entative for confineme	nt in a corrections facility separate.	
to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the				
Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance				
in connection with a court proceeding.	$V \setminus \Omega$			
2-2-17	1 / . // ×		9	
Date Signature of Judicial Officer				
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge				
		Title of Judicial Offic		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).